

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,975	08/21/2001	Guy Cote	CISCP256/4087	8279
22434 7	05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
P.O. BOX 702: OAKLAND, (	50 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2613	
	,		DATE MAILED: 05/05/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>\</del>			
Office Action Summary		09/934,975	COTE ET AL.				
	omoo, totali outilitary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dave Czekaj ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period fo							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a replowithin the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	ovember 2004.					
· -	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13-18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🖂							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	V / V / V/				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	•					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
		•					
Attachmen	nt(s)	,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Info	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

On page 7, the applicant argues that Ishiyama does not teach a transcoder rate control connected to a frame buffer or using frame buffer information to calculate rate reduction. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Ishiyama figure 3. There Ishiyama illustrates a transcoder rate control (item 3) connected to a frame buffer thru the encoder and decoder. Further, note Ishiyama paragraphs 0100-0103. There Ishiyama discloses outputting data from the frame buffers for calculating the code volume. The code volume is then used to calculate rate reduction. Hence, the frame buffers supply the necessary information needed to calculate the rate reduction. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

On page 8, the applicant argues that Ishiyama does not describe rate control connected to a channel interface. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Ishiyama figure 3. There Ishiyama illustrates a rate control (item 21, wherein the input buffer controls the rate of data entering the transcoder controller) connected to a channel interface (item 52, wherein the interface is the input buffer monitor). Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

# Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
   On page 15, line 16, the examiner could not find "channel 30" in the
  - corresponding figure.
  - On page 22, line 20, the examiner understood "step 180" to be "step 182".

Art Unit: 2613

On page 22, line 23, the examiner understood "encoder 28" to be "encoder 20". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 13-18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishiyama (2001/0008544).

Regarding claim 1, Ishiyama discloses an apparatus that performs rate control taking both the picture quality and time delay into account (Ishiyama: paragraph 0001). This apparatus comprises "a frame buffer" (Ishiyama: figure 3, items 26 and 37), "an encoder receiving input from the buffer" (Ishiyama: figure 3, item 2), "a vbv buffer receiving input from encoder" (Ishiyama: figure 3, wherein the vbv buffer consists of the input and output buffers), "a channel interface receiving input from vbv buffer" (Ishiyama: figure 3, wherein the channel interface is the input and output buffer monitors), "a channel rate control connected to the vbv buffer and channel interface" (Ishiyama: figure 3, wherein the channel rate control is the reception and sending channel monitor), and "a transcoder rate control connected to the frame buffer, encoder, vbv buffer, and channel rate control" (Ishiyama: figure 3, item 3). Although Ishiyama shows the channel interface and channel rate control contained within the transcoder rate

Application/Control Number: 09/934,975

Art Unit: 2613

control, it would have been obvious to split the units apart into their individual components (Official Notice). Doing so would have been obvious in order to make the apparatus more versatile by making the apparatus partially work if one of the individual components failed.

Regarding claim 2, Ishiyama discloses "a decoder to provide input to the frame buffer" (Ishiyama: figure 3, item 1).

Regarding claim 3, Ishiyama discloses "the channel rate control monitors the fullness of the vbv buffer and controls the output of the buffer to meet a target bit rate in transmitting data to the channel interface" (Ishiyama: paragraphs 0135, 0141-0142 and 0145-0146, wherein the channel rate control is the reception and sending channel monitor, controlling the output of the buffer is done through the use of the quantization controller which increases or decreases the code volume to prevent overflow and underflow).

Regarding claim 4, Ishiyama discloses "the transcoder rate control monitors the contents of the frame and vbv buffer to ensure the vbv buffer does not underflow or overflow, the transcoder rate control using the results of the monitoring to control the rate at which frames are extracted from the buffer" (Ishiyama: paragraphs 0141-0142 and 0145-0146, wherein monitoring the buffers is done through the use of the quantization controller which increases or decreases the code volume (the rate at which frames are extracted) to prevent overflow and underflow).

Application/Control Number: 09/934,975

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 5, Ishiyama discloses "the transcoder rate control utilizes rate reduction means to achieve a target bit rate, the target bit rate being the rate at which data is provided from the vbv buffer to the channel interface" (Ishiyama: paragraphs 0101 and 0103, wherein the rate reduction means is the ratio R, the target bit rate is the maximum throughput of the channel).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Ishiyama discloses "the transcoder rate control further comprises requantization means which selectively requantizes the transform coefficients based upon image degradation" (Ishiyama: paragraph 0145, wherein the requantization means is the quantization set controller).

Regarding claim 7, Ishiyama discloses "the transcoder rate control modifies the quantizer scale of the macroblocks in frames transmitted from the vbv buffer to the channel interface" (Ishiyama: paragraphs 0145-0146, wherein the modification is the increase or decrease in code volume).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Ishiyama discloses "the transcoder rate control inserts a vbv delay value for frames transmitted by the channel interface" (Ishiyama: paragraph 0146, wherein the delay is the increase in the volume of codes which would delay the frames being transmitted).

Regarding claims 9, 16, 18, and 22, Ishiyama discloses "computing a rate reduction factor" (Ishiyama: paragraphs 0101 and 0103, wherein the rate reduction factor is the ratio R), "computing a quantizer scale, wherein the rate reduction factor and the quantizer scale are computed using vbv buffer and frame buffer information" (Ishiyama: paragraph 0145, wherein the quantization

Art Unit: 2613

set controller produces the quantizer scale, the vbv buffer and frame buffer provide the image data), "applying the results to an encoder" (Ishiyama: figure 3, item 2), and "repeating the steps for a plurality of frames" (Ishiyama: paragraph 0164, wherein the repeating is done on the next processing).

Regarding claim 13, note the examiners rejection for claims 1 and 9.

Regarding claim 14, note the examiners rejection for claims 3 and 5.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10-12, 19-21, and 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/934,975 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (571) 272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600